arXiv:1604.04338v1 [math.OC] 15 Apr 2016

A simple proof of tail–polynomial bounds on the diameter of polyhedra

Shinji Mizuno*[1] and Noriyoshi Sukegawa†[2]

[1]Department of Industrial Engineering and Management, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1-W9-58, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8552 Japan

[2]Department of Information and System Engineering, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

April 18, 2016

Abstract

Let $\Delta(d,n)$ denote the maximum diameter of a d-dimensional polyhedron with n facets. In this paper, we propose a unified analysis of a recursive inequality about $\Delta(d,n)$ established by Kalai and Kleitman in 1992. This yields much simpler proofs of a tail–polynomial and tail–almost–linear bounds on $\Delta(d,n)$ which are recently discussed by Gallagher and Kim.

1 Introduction

The 1-*skeleton* of a polyhedron P is an undirected graph $G=(V,E)$ which represents the vertex-vertex adjacency defined by the edges of P. More precisely, V is a set of vertices of P, and E is a set defined in such a way that $\{u,v\}\in E$ if and only if $\{(1-\lambda)u+\lambda v : 0\le\lambda\le 1\}$ forms an edge of P. The *diameter* $\delta(P)$ of P is the diameter of its 1-skeleton. Formally, if we let $\rho_P(u,v)$ denote the shortest path length from u to v, i.e., the number of edges required for joining u and v in G, then $\delta(P)=\max\{\rho_P(u,v) : u,v\in V\}$.

Let $\Delta(d,n)$ denote the maximum diameter of a d-dimensional polyhedron with n facets. In 1957, Hirsch conjectured that $\Delta(d,n)\le n-d$, which is disproved for unbounded polyhedra by Klee and Walkup [6], and for even bounded polyhedra, i.e., polytopes, by Santos [9]. An outstanding open problem in polyhedral combinatorics is to determine the behavior of $\Delta(d,n)$. In particular, the

*mizuno.s.ab@m.titech.ac.jp
†sukegawa@ise.chuo-u.ac.jp

existence of a polynomial bound on $\Delta(d,n)$ is a major question. This question arose from its relation to the complexity of the simplex method; $\Delta(d,n)$ is a lower bound on the number of pivots required by the simplex method to solve a linear programming problem with d variables and n constraints.

In this paper, we focus on the behavior of $\Delta(d,n)$ when n is sufficiently large. Recently, in [1], Gallagher and Kim showed that

Theorem 1 (Tail–polynomial bound [1])**.** *For $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2^{d-1}$,*

$$\Delta(d,n) \leq \frac{1}{16}\frac{n^3}{\sqrt{3\log(n)-5}}.$$

Their proof is based on two existing results:

- $\Delta(d,n) \leq \Delta(d-1,n-1) + 2\Delta\left(d, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\right) + 2$ for $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \geq d \geq 2$,
- $\Delta(d,n) \leq \Delta(d,n+1) - 1$ for $n > d > 1$.

The former is due to Kalai and Kleitman [2], which has been used for proving bounds on $\Delta(d,n)$ for years, see, e.g., [2, 12, 10, 11, 1]. The latter is by Klee and Walkup [6]. It should be noted that Gallagher and Kim also show a tail–almost–linear bound $n^{1+\epsilon}$ for sufficiently large n.

We propose a unified analysis of the former recursive inequality, Kalai–Kleitman inequality. As a corollary, we readily obtain tail–polynomial and tail–almost–linear bounds which are analogous to but slightly better than those discussed by Gallagher and Kim. Our proofs are much simpler and use Kalai–Kleitman inequality only.

2 Main results

Using Kalai–Kleitman inequality, we show the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $g(d,n) = \alpha^{d-3}(n-d)^k$ be a function with parameters $\alpha, k > 1$. If α and k satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \leq 1,$$

then $\Delta(d,n) \leq g(d,n)$ holds for $n \geq d \geq 3$.

Proof. See Section 3. □

This bound $g(d,n)$ is motivated by Todd [12]. He derived a bound $(n-d)^{\log_2(d)}$ by refining the proof of the sub-exponential bound $n^{\log_2(d)+2}$ shown by Kalai and Kleitman. As with Todd bound, our bound $g(d,n)$ takes 0 when $n = d$, and hence coincides with the fact that $\Delta(d,d) = 0$.

Corollary 1. *From Theorem 2, we obtain*

a) *for $d \geq 3$ and $n - d \geq 2^{d-3}$, $\Delta(d,n) \leq (n-d)^3$,*

b) *for* $d \geq 3$ *and* $n \geq 2^{2(d+2)}$,

$$\Delta(d,n) \leq \frac{1}{16}\frac{n(n-d)^2}{\sqrt{3\log(n)-5}},$$

c) *for* $\epsilon > 0$, $d \geq 3$, *and* $n - d \geq \left[\frac{2^{\epsilon/2}}{2^{\epsilon/2}-1}\right]^{2(d-3)/\epsilon}$, $\Delta(d,n) \leq (n-d)^{1+\epsilon}$.

Proof. Setting $\alpha, k := 2$ in Theorem 2, we have $\Delta(d,n) \leq 2^{d-3}(n-d)^2$, which implies a). For b) and c), see Section 4. □

In particular, the bound in b) is analogous to but slightly better than that of Theorem 1.

3 Proofs of Theorem 2

We always assume that $n \geq d$ since if otherwise the polyhedron has no vertex. Now, the goal is to show that

$$\mathrm{P}(d)\text{: } \Delta(d,n) \leq g(d,n) = \alpha^{d-3}(n-d)^k \text{ for } n \geq d$$

is true for each $d \geq 3$. We prove this by induction on d. First, we can observe that $\mathrm{P}(3)$ is true for any $\alpha, k > 1$. This is because we have $\Delta(3,n) \leq n-3$, see, e.g., [3, 4, 5].

In what follows, assuming that $\mathrm{P}(d-1)$ is true, we show that $\mathrm{P}(d)$ is true. This is done by induction on n while d is fixed. When $n < 2d$, it is known that $\Delta(d,n) \leq \Delta(d-1,n-1)$, see, e.g., [12]. Hence, by the definition of g and the validity of $\mathrm{P}(d-1)$, we have

$$\Delta(d,n) \leq \Delta(d-1,n-1) \leq g(d-1,n-1) \leq g(d,n)$$

for $n < 2d$. Then, let us consider the case when $n \geq 2d$. In this case, we employ the following result.

Lemma 1 (Kalai–Kleitman inequality [2])**.** *For* $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \geq d \geq 2$,

$$\Delta(d,n) \leq \Delta(d-1,n-1) + 2\Delta\left(d, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 2.$$

Proof. See, e.g., [2, 12]. □

In addition to the validity of $\mathrm{P}(d-1)$, now, we can assume that $\mathrm{P}(d)$ is true for

n' with $n' < n$ as the inductive hypothesis. Therefore, Lemma 1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(d,n) &\leq \Delta(d-1,n-1) + 2\Delta\left(d, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 2 \\
&= g(d-1,n-1) + 2g\left(d, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 2 \\
&\leq \alpha^{d-4}(n-d)^k + 2\alpha^{d-3}\left(\frac{n}{2} - d\right)^k + 2 \\
&= \alpha^{d-3}(n-d)^k \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\left(1 - \frac{d}{n-d}\right)^k + \frac{2}{\alpha^{d-3}(n-d)^k}\right] \\
&= g(d,n)\left[\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\left[\left(1 - \frac{d}{n-d}\right)^k + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{d-3}\left(\frac{2}{n-d}\right)^k\right]\right] \\
&\leq g(d,n)\left[\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\left[1 - \frac{d}{n-d} + \frac{2}{n-d}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from

$$k > 1,\ \frac{1}{\alpha} < 1,\ d \geq 3,\ \text{and}\ \frac{2}{n-d} \leq 1.$$

Since $d \geq 3$, we have

$$\Delta(d,n) \leq g(d,n)\left[\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right] \leq g(d,n).$$

4 Comparison with Theorem 1 and a tail–almost–linear bound

We add annotations to Corollary 1. First, let us observe b). Set $\alpha, k := 2$ as in a). Then, we have $\Delta(d,n) \leq 2^{d-3}(n-d)^2$. Hence, it suffices to identify a lower bound n_L such that $n \geq n_L$ implies

$$2^{d-3} \leq \frac{1}{16}\frac{n}{\sqrt{3\log(n) - 5}}.$$

A sufficient condition for the inequality above is $2^{d+2} \leq n/\sqrt{\log(n)}$. As $\sqrt{\log(n)} \leq \sqrt{n}$ for $n \geq 1$, the condition can be further simplified to $2^{d+2} \leq \sqrt{n}$. This means that we can set $n_L = 2^{2(d+2)}$, which implies b).

Then, let us observe c). For a given $\epsilon > 0$, set $k = 1 + \epsilon/2$. It is easy to see that $\alpha = \frac{2^{\epsilon/2}}{2^{\epsilon/2}-1}$ satisfies the condition $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \leq 1$. Hence, we have

$$\Delta(d,n) \leq \left[\frac{2^{\epsilon/2}}{2^{\epsilon/2}-1}\right]^{d-3}(n-d)^{1+\epsilon/2}.$$

Then, for n satisfying $(n-d)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \geq \left[\frac{2^{\epsilon/2}}{2^{\epsilon/2}-1}\right]^{d-3}$, i.e., $n - d \geq \left[\frac{2^{\epsilon/2}}{2^{\epsilon/2}-1}\right]^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}(d-3)}$, we have $\Delta(d,n) \leq (n-d)^{1+\epsilon}$, which implies c).

5 Concluding remarks

We finally point out that Larman bound [7] $n2^{d-3}$ also derive tail–polynomial and tail–almost–linear bounds shown in Theorem 1 [1]. Note that however, Larman bound does not imply our bounds with the line of $n - d$.

Observation 1. *Since* $\Delta(d, n) \leq n2^{d-3}$ *for* $n \geq d \geq 3$ *[8], we have*

- *for* $d \geq 3$ *and* $n \geq 2^{d-3}$, $\Delta(d, n) \leq n^2$,
- *for* $\epsilon > 0$, $d \geq 3$, *and* $n \geq 2^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(d-3)}$, $\Delta(d, n) \leq n^{1+\epsilon}$.

Larman bound was originally proven for only bounded polyhedra. Recently, in [8], Labbé, Manneville, and Santos proved it for simplicial complexes, which include general polyhedra.

References

[1] J.M. Gallagher and E.D. Kim: Tail diameter upper bounds for polytopes and polyhedra. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.04052. (2016).

[2] G. Kalai and D.J. Kleitman: A quasi-polynomial bound for the diameter of graphs of polyhedra. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 26 (1992) 315–316.

[3] V. Klee: Diameters of polyhedral graphs. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 16 (1964) 602–614.

[4] V. Klee: Paths on polyhedra: I. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics* 13 (1965) 946–956.

[5] V. Klee: Paths on polyhedra: II. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 17 (1966) 249–262.

[6] V. Klee and D.W. Walkup: The d-step conjecture for polyhedra of dimension $d < 6$. *Acta Mathematica* 133 (1967) 53–78.

[7] D.G. Larman: Paths on polytopes. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 20 (1970) 161–178.

[8] J.-P. Labbé, T. Manneville, and F. Santos: Hirsch polytopes with exponentially long combinatorial segments. arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.07678. (2015).

[9] F. Santos: A counter-example to the Hirsch Conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics* 176 (2012) 383–412.

[10] N. Sukegawa and T. Kitahara: A refinement of Todd's bound for the diameter of a polyhedron. *Operations Research Letters* 43 (2015) 534–536.

[11] N. Sukegawa: Improving bounds on the diameter of polyhedra in high dimensions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.04039. (2016).

[12] M.J. Todd: An improved Kalai–Kleitman bound for the diameter of a polyhedron. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 28 (2014) 1944–1947.